# Clustering and halo occupation of AGNs using a semi-analytic model of galaxy formation

The first Shanghai Assembly on Cosmology and Galaxy Formation November 8<sup>th</sup>, 2019

Taira Oogi Kavli IPMU

Oogi et al. in prep.

Collaborators: Hikari Shirakata (Tadano Ltd.), Masahiro Nagashima (Bunkyo University), Takahiro Nishimichi (YITP), Toshihiro Kawaguchi (Onomichi City University), Takashi Okamoto (Hokkaido University), Tomoaki Ishiyama (Chiba University), Motohiro Enoki (Tokyo Keizai University)

# Outline

- Co-evolution of supermassive black holes and galaxies
- AGN host halo masses
- Previous work
- Model
  - AGN triggering mechanisms
- Results
  - Two-point correlation functions of AGNs
  - Redshift evolution of AGN host halo masses
  - AGN halo occupation distributions (HODs)
- Summary

# $M_{BH}$ - $M_{bulge}$ relation





QSO 3C273 (ESA/Hubble & NASA)

- Implication for the co-evolution of SMBHs and galaxies.
- Statistical properties of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are important for understanding the co-evolution.

## AGN host dark matter (DM) halos

Redshift evolution of DM halo mass inferred from AGN clustering for AGN populations (Alexander & Hickox 2012)



AGN clustering and host halo mass can be constraints on the SMBH growth and AGN triggering mechanisms.

## AGN host dark matter (DM) halos

Redshift evolution of DM halo mass inferred from AGN clustering for AGN populations (Alexander & Hickox 2012)



For optically luminous quasars, the host halo mass inferred from clustering is  $2 - 3 \times 10^{12} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ .

## DM halo mass of X-ray AGNs

Redshift evolution of DM halo mass for moderate luminosity (X-ray) AGNs (compilation by Georgakakis et al. 2019)



## DM halo mass of X-ray AGNs

Redshift evolution of DM halo mass for moderate luminosity (X-ray) AGNs (compilation by Georgakakis et al. 2019)



For moderately luminous X-rayselected AGNs, clustering studies have obtained higher typical halo masses,  $10^{12.5-13.5}h^{-1}M_{\odot}$ .

- Cappelluti et al. 2010
- Mountrichas & Georgakakis 2012
- ▲ Krumpe et al. 2017
- Krumpe et al. 2012
- Mountrichas et al. 2013
- Starikova et al. 2011
- Mountrichas et al. 2016
- Allevato et al. 2011
- Coil et al. 2009
- 🔻 Gilli et al. 2009

## DM halo mass of X-ray AGNs

Redshift evolution of DM halo mass for moderate luminosity (X-ray) AGNs (compilation by Georgakakis et al. 2019)



For moderately luminous X-rayselected AGNs, clustering studies have obtained higher typical halo masses,  $10^{12.5-13.5}h^{-1}M_{\odot}$ .

- Cappelluti et al. 2010
- Mountrichas & Georgakakis 2012
- Krumpe et al. 2017
- Krumpe et al. 2012
- Mountrichas et al. 2013
- Starikova et al. 2011
- Mountrichas et al. 2016
- Allevato et al. 2011
- Coil et al. 2009
- ▼ Gilli et al. 2009

## **Previous work**

- The DM halo mass of luminous quasars can be explained by models in which quasar activity is triggered by galaxy major mergers (at least  $z \leq 2$ ).
- The X-ray AGN host halo can be explained by "hothalo mode AGN" (Fanidakis et al. 2013).



#### DM halo mass distribution of quasars



# Aim of this study

We explore whether the galaxy major and minor mergers and disc instabilities as triggering mechanisms for AGNs are able to explain the host haloes of X-ray AGNs with  ${\sim}10^{13} \rm h^{-1} M_{\odot}$  or not.

We investigate this issue with our semi-analytic model of galaxy and AGN formation,  $v^2GC$  (Shirakata et al. 2019)

#### Cosmological N-body simulations

#### Ishiyama et al. 2015

#### 550 billion particles 1.12Gph/h box

# Merger trees of dark matter halos



Merger history of dark matter halos



Boxsize (Mpc/h)	N	m (M <sub>sun</sub> /h)	ε (kpc/h)	M <sub>min</sub> (M <sub>sun</sub> ∕h)	Cosmology
1120.0	<b>8192</b> <sup>3</sup>	2.20×10 <sup>8</sup>	4.27	8.79×10 <sup>9</sup>	Planck

## Semi-analytic model $\nu^2$ GC

#### 1. AGN triggering model

Assumption: a major/minor merger of galaxies and disk instability trigger cold gas accretion on to a SMBH and AGN activity.

The mass accretion rate:

$$\dot{M}_{\rm BH} = \frac{\Delta M_{\rm acc}}{t_{\rm acc}} \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_{\rm start}}{t_{\rm acc}}\right)$$

Galaxy mergers Disk instability





QSO 3C273 (ESA/Hubble & NASA)

## Semi-analytic model $\nu^2$ GC

The mass accretion rate:

$$\dot{M}_{\rm BH} = \frac{\Delta M_{\rm acc}}{t_{\rm acc}} \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_{\rm start}}{t_{\rm acc}}\right)$$





#### 2. Gas accretion timescales onto black holes

- Assumption: the timescale of BH growth, i.e. gas accretion, is controlled by two timescales
- Dynamical timescale of the bulge,  $t_{dyn,bulge}$
- Timescale of angular momentum loss at < 100 pc,  $t_{loss}$

 $t_{\rm acc} = \alpha_{\rm bulge} t_{\rm dyn, bulge} + t_{\rm loss}$ 

 $t_{\rm loss} \propto M_{\rm BH}^{3.5} \Delta M_{\rm acc}^{-4}$ 

where  $M_{BH}$  is the SMBH mass and  $\Delta M_{acc}$  is the accreted gas mass.



QSO 3C273 (ESA/Hubble & NASA)

## Semi-analytic model $\nu^2$ GC

The mass accretion rate:

$$\dot{M}_{\rm BH} = \frac{\Delta M_{\rm acc}}{t_{\rm acc}} \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_{\rm start}}{t_{\rm acc}}\right)$$





#### 2. Gas accretion timescales onto black holes

- Assumption: the timescale of BH growth, i.e. gas accretion, is controlled by two timescales
- Dynamical timescale of the bulge,  $t_{dyn,bulge}$
- Timescale of angular momentum loss at < 100 pc, t<sub>loss</sub>



**QSO 3C273** (ESA/Hubble & NASA)

 $t_{\rm acc} = \alpha_{\rm bulge} t_{\rm dyn, bulge} + t_{\rm loss}$ 

Two models where  $M_{\rm BH}$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1. \text{ Model w}/t_{\rm loss}; & t_{\rm acc} = \alpha_{\rm bulge} t_{\rm dyn, bulge} + t_{\rm loss} & (\text{Fiducial model}) \\ 2. \text{ Model w/o } t_{\rm loss}; & t_{\rm acc} = \alpha_{\rm bulge} t_{\rm dyn, bulge} \end{bmatrix}$ 

## **AGN luminosity functions**



The model reproduces the observed AGN luminosity function at z<6.

L<sub>x</sub>-M<sub>halo</sub> relation



## **2PCF of X-ray AGN: comparison with Obs.**



 $r\pi_{\max}$ 

$\log_{10}$	$L_{x}$	=	[43.5,44.5] —
$\log_{10}^{10}$	$L_x$	=	[42.5,43.5] —
$\log_{10}$	$L_X^{\Lambda}$	=	[41.5,42.5] —

- Our model results are in agreement with observed AGN clustering.
- At z=0, the clustering amplitude of less luminous AGNs is higher than luminous AGNs.

$$b_{AGN} \rightarrow M_{halo,eff}$$

The effective halo mass is defined as the mass which satisfied  $b(M_{eff}) = b_{AGN}$ , where  $b(M_h)$  is the halo bias of mass  $M_h$  (Tinker et al. 2010).

### **Redshift evolution of AGN host halo mass**



- The AGN host halo mass of low luminosity AGNs is higher than that of luminous AGNs at low-z.
- At high-z, there is no significant luminosity dependence.

### Redshift evolution of AGN host halo mass



- Overall, our model is consistent with the current observations.
  - typical host halo mass,  $10^{12.5-13.5}h^{-1}M_{\odot}$ .
- There is a large scatter of the observationally estimated halo masses.
- The disagreement is seen for luminous AGNs.

### Redshift evolution of AGN host halo mass



Further observations can constrain the models.

#### HOD for different triggering mechanisms

X-ray AGNs with 42.5 < log ( $L_x$  / erg s<sup>-1</sup>) < 43.5

DI: Disc instability



- Minor mergers are the main triggering mechanism of AGN.
- The satellite fraction f<sub>sat</sub>~0.12.
  - f<sub>sat</sub> : the fraction of satellite AGNs to the total AGNs
- Satellite AGNs contribute the HOD.

## HOD: comparison with observation



- Observation: Leauthaud et al. 2015
  - COSMOS X-ray AGN sample
  - $\log(M_{star}) > 10.5$
- The HOD of our model is qualitatively consistent with the observation.
- Uncertainties (Obscured fraction, sample incompleteness)

# Summary

- We can predict the AGN host halo mass and clustering by using our latest semi-analytic model.
- Our model is consistent with the current observations.
  - Typical host halo mass,  $\sim 10^{13} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ .
- The host halo mass of X-ray AGNs can be explained by the model in which galaxy mergers and disc instabilities trigger AGN activity.
- Minor mergers are the main triggering mechanism of AGNs.
- Halo occupation distributions of AGNs can be an additional constraint.