

# Clues to dark matter from galaxies

Keri L. Dixon

New York University Abu Dhabi

working with B. Stoychev, A. Macciò, A. Dutton, M. Blank

Shanghai meeting 2019 2019MNRAS.489..487S

# **Premise: Cosmology and stars are linked**

### Start with dark matter and form halos



Credit: Tom Abel & Ralf Kaehler

#### Galaxies live there and can impact those halos



Credit: Tom Abel & Ralf Kaehler

Credit: NASA / ESA / Hubble / L. Ho







#### Self-interacting

 $\infty$ 



g1536 5keV 0.000 Gyr

g1536 2keV 0.000 Gyr

g1536 1keV 0.000 Gyr

### Tweeze out some differences



...even at z = 0 (Macciò, KLD, et al. 2019)

# The NIHAO project

#### Numerical Investigation (of) Hundred Astrophysical Objects

你好





PI: A.V. Macciò (NYUAD)

Co-PI: A.A. Dutton, X. Kang

NIHAO members:

M. Blank (NYUAD), T. Buck (MPIA), K.Dixon (NYUAD), A. Obreja(USM), J.Chang (PMO), L. Wang (UWA), J. Frings (MPIA), A. Di Cintio (AIP), C. Brook (IAC)

# The NIHAO project

Largest database of high-res galaxies (172) From tiny MW satellites to massive ellipticals

One million elements per galaxy Able to resolve the galaxies internal regions

Code Gasoline2.0

- Cooling and star formation
- heavy elements production and enrichment
- SN feedback
- Massive stars feedback
- BH creation and accretion
- AGN feedback
- Local Photoionization feedback



Animation by T. Buck (MPIA, NYUAD) based on NIHAO simulations



# Stoychev, KLD, et al. 2019

#### Use 19 zoom simulations in both CDM and 3 keV WDM

Include any galaxy with greater than 10,000 particles

Level	$m_{ m DM}$	$m_{ m gas}$	$\epsilon_{\rm DM}$	$\epsilon_{ m gas}$
	${ m M}_{\odot}$	${ m M}_{\odot}$	pc	pc
1	$1.95 \times 10^3$	$3.56 \times 10^2$	63	27

#### Code Gasoline2.0

- Cooling and star formation
- heavy elements production and enrichment
- SN feedback
- Massive stars feedback



Animation by T. Buck (MPIA, NYUAD) based on NIHAO simulations

NYUAD

# Using NIHAO to get the Universe: From DM to observed SFR

# Halo mass functions from N-body



$$\log\left(\frac{dN}{d\log M}\right) = A - B\log M - Ce^{(M_0 - \log M)^{\alpha}}$$

# $M*-M_{halo}$ : by construction at z=0



#### Dark fraction



$$f_{\star} = \frac{1 + \tanh\left[\beta(\log M - M_1)\right]}{2}$$

NYUAD

#### Stellar mass functions



NYUAD

### Stellar mass functions



### SFR-M\* relation



Find some redshift dependence in the intercept, but not the slope

...though see Ma et al. 2018

# **Convolve everything together: Plus some reionization**

### Star formation rate density



### First-order reionization

**Boundary conditions:** 

Fully ionized at z = 610% ionized at z = 10

$$\dot{Q}_{\rm H\,II} = \frac{\dot{n}_{\rm ion}}{\langle n_{\rm H} \rangle} - \frac{Q_{\rm H\,II}}{t_{\rm rec}}$$

#### **Assumptions:**

**Consistent ionizing efficiency Clumping factor of 3 Halo-independent escape fraction** 

### First-order reionization

#### **Boundary conditions:**

Fully ionized at z = 610% ionized at z = 10

$$\dot{Q}_{\rm H\,II} = \frac{\dot{n}_{\rm ion}}{\langle n_{\rm H} \rangle} - \frac{Q_{\rm H\,II}}{t_{\rm rec}}$$

#### **Assumptions:**

**Consistent ionizing efficiency Clumping factor of 3 Halo-independent escape fraction** 



#### First-order reionization



#### Bonus info for homework!

z	Cosmology	Α	В	C	$M_0$	α
5	CDM WDM	52.93 48.99	3.641 3.863	$\begin{array}{c} 15.31 \\ 16.06 \end{array}$	$11.05 \\ 8.574$	$0.1315 \\ 0.2371$
6	CDM WDM	$53.23 \\ 53.60$	3.851 4.331	$\begin{array}{c} 17.12\\ 14.72 \end{array}$	$9.568 \\ 9.092$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1462 \\ 0.2569 \end{array}$
7	CDM WDM	$\begin{array}{c} 47.86\\ 62.80 \end{array}$	$3.819 \\ 5.0117$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.86\\ 17.48 \end{array}$	$8.572 \\ 9.201$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1862 \\ 0.2411 \end{array}$
8	CDM WDM	$\begin{array}{c} 54.53 \\ 60.06 \end{array}$	$4.338 \\ 4.987$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.04 \\ 14.13 \end{array}$	$8.975 \\ 9.495$	$0.1837 \\ 0.2628$
9	CDM WDM	$51.62 \\ 58.73$	$4.274 \\ 4.960$	$15.18 \\ 15.83$	8.557 8.878	$0.1963 \\ 0.2616$
10	CDM WDM	54.11 65.11	4.652 5.537	11.09 21.02	9.837 8.175	0.2199 0.2643

2	Cosmology	β	$M_1$
5	CDM	$1.45\substack{+0.63 \\ -0.32}$	$-8.73_{-0.17}^{+0.16}$
	WDM	$1.64\substack{+1.04 \\ -0.47}$	$-8.96\substack{+0.19\\-0.17}$
6	CDM	$1.82\substack{+0.88\\-0.42}$	$-8.46\substack{+0.15\\-0.12}$
	WDM	$1.82\substack{+1.05 \\ -0.50}$	$-8.62\substack{+0.19\\-0.16}$
7	CDM	$2.02\substack{+0.96 \\ -0.48}$	$-8.35\substack{+0.14\\-0.12}$
	WDM	$2.08^{+1.22}_{-0.62}$	$-8.59\substack{+0.22\\-0.16}$
8	CDM	$2.43^{+1.15}_{-0.63}$	$-8.15\substack{+0.13 \\ -0.13}$
	WDM	$2.50^{+1.31}_{-0.76}$	$-8.20\substack{+0.27\\-0.22}$
9	CDM	$2.36^{+1.11}_{-0.58}$	$-7.99\substack{+0.10\\-0.14}$
	WDM	$2.74\substack{+1.30 \\ -0.88}$	$-7.99\substack{+0.30\\-0.30}$
10	CDM	$2.55^{+1.19}_{-0.69}$	$-8.03\substack{+0.12\\-0.15}$
	WDM	$2.78^{+1.29}_{-0.94}$	$-7.97\substack{+0.37\\-0.38}$

Table A1. Best-fitting parameter values for halo mass functions.

z	Cosmology	slope	intercept
5	CDM	$1.536\substack{+0.049\\-0.051}$	$-8.24^{+0.50}_{-0.47}$
	WDM	$1.683\substack{+0.058\\-0.062}$	$-9.73\substack{+0.61\\-0.58}$
6	CDM	$1.490\substack{+0.050\\-0.052}$	$-7.67\substack{+0.50\\-0.47}$
	WDM	$1.621\substack{+0.065\\-0.070}$	$-9.01\substack{+0.67 \\ -0.63}$
7	CDM	$1.448\substack{+0.056\\-0.060}$	$-7.25\substack{+0.56\\-0.51}$
	WDM	$1.483\substack{+0.078\\-0.082}$	$-7.61\substack{+0.78\\-0.74}$
8	CDM	$1.358\substack{+0.064\\-0.069}$	$-6.37\substack{+0.62\\-0.58}$
	WDM	$1.444\substack{+0.094\\-0.100}$	$-7.23\substack{+0.93\\-0.87}$
9	CDM	$1.354\substack{+0.078\\-0.083}$	$-6.25\substack{+0.73\\-0.96}$
	WDM	$1.350\substack{+0.13\\-0.13}$	$-6.28^{+1.21}_{-1.16}$
10	CDM	$1.43_{-0.12}^{+0.11}$	$-6.96\substack{+1.06\\-0.99}$
	WDM	$1.41\substack{+0.19 \\ -0.20}$	$-6.89^{+1.81}_{-1.71}$

Table A3. The median parameter values, including the  $1\sigma$  uncertainties, resulting from the MCMC procedure for  $f_{\star}$ , which follows the functional form of equation (5).

z	slope	intercept
5	0.999	-8.947
6	1.019	-8.493
7	1.070	-8.802
8	1.030	-8.408
9	0.9930	-8.053
10	0.9994	-8.026

Table A4. The best-fitting parameters derived from the MCMC procedure for the SFR- $M_{\star}$  relation, which is linear in log-log space.

Table A2. The median parameter values, including the  $1\sigma$  uncertainties, derived from the MCMC sample for the  $M_{\star}$ - $M_{200}$ relation in log-log space.

#### Keri L. Dixon

#### NYUAD

Looking forward to more high-z data

We can use galaxy formation as insight to cosmology

Results comparable, not identical to other groups (e.g. Ma et al. 2018, Rosdahl et al. 2018, Ceverino et al. 2017)

High redshift and integrated quantities promising (Lovell et al. 2018, Villaneuva-Domingo et al. 2018, Crosianti et al. 2017)

Need more careful astrophysics for reionization

**Overall, Cold Dark Matter is favored** 

2019MNRAS.489..487S